Discussion preparation for NPLCC S-TEK subcommittee meeting, June 13-14 How should we evaluate the relative priority of identified potential information and tool needs?

(Please review the following as background for the planned discussion at 3:45-4:30 on 6/13)

Once a robust list of potential information and tool needs has been developed, we need to determine which information needs to address in the 2013-2017 Strategy. This determination should be based both on the value to the NPLCC of the information, and the portfolio-level considerations discussed previously.

At the February meeting, the S-TEK subcommittee discussed several ways for evaluating & prioritizing potential information needs. The approach used for setting FY12 priorities was an informal version of a multi-attribute analysis, and the general feedback from the subcommittee was that this type of approach would be a useful and transparent approach to setting priorities for the S-TEK strategy. The following steps are necessary to implement the approach:

- 1. Identify/develop a set of criteria that will establish information priority
 - a. Develop a well-defined set of metrics for evaluating how well each information need meets each criterion
- 2. Evaluate each potential information need using the metrics (e.g., "score" the needs)
- 3. If necessary, establish weights reflecting the relative importance of each criterion in determining information priority
- 4. Combine the "scores" and "weights" to obtain a priority evaluation for each need

The S-TEK briefly discussed several criteria that could be relevant in evaluating the priority or importance of addressing an identified information need. Again, these are provided below as a starting point for the June 13th discussions:

- Decision-relevance of the information (For what decisions is the information critical? How important are those decisions? How critical is the information to those decisions?)
- Breadth or range of decisions the information could support
- Number of partners or stakeholders who could use the information to support their decisions
- Urgency / timing of information needs relative to decision needs
- Will the identified information better characterize one or more of the specified outcomes of interest to NPLCC partners (e.g., habitat quality and quantity, species population health [for species of management interest], economic benefits from the landscape, etc)? Which outcomes?
- Does an opportunity for information collection & uncertainty reduction exist now that will not exist later?
- Criticality of LCC-level participation (e.g., is not currently be addressed by anyone else)
- Other benefits of LCC-level participation (e.g., partnership building)